

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONIA OF FATENTI AND TRADUMAKS Washington DC 20230 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/623,414	11/08/2000	Michel Costantini	022701-879	4994	
21839	7590 06/28/2002				
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER		
	COFFICE BOX 1404 KANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		CHAUDHRY,	MAHREEN F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1627		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
•	09/623,414		COSTANTINI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mahreen Chau	thry	1627	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how sication. days, a reply within the statutory mittory period will apply and will expire III, by statute, cause the application.	rever, may a reply be timel nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from th to become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>10 April 2002</u>			
	o)⊠ This action is non-f	inal.		
3) Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic Disposition of Claims	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	ormal matters, pro , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to th 3 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the ap				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)[∴] Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	on and/or election require	ment.		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		ed to by the Even	inor	
Applicant may not request that any object		•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed of				er
If approved, corrected drawings are requi			od by the Examin	01.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to b				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		0 (/ ((-,-	
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of the priority do			n No.	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office action f 	the priority documents ha onal Bureau (PCT Rule	ave been received 17.2(a)).	in this National	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).
a) The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional applicati	on has been receiv	ved.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	4)	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary		Part of I	Paper No. 15

Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

1. Acknowledgement is made of the amendment filed April 10, 2002. Claim 23 has been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 13-15 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,900,506 issued to Fache et al. filed in December 1997 in view of BE 855237A. Fache et al. disclose a process for treating a reaction mixture obtained by direct oxidation of cyclohexane to adipic acid by molecular oxygen in an aliphatic carboxylic acid in the presence of a catalyst containing cobalt (Column 1 Lines 47+). Fache et al. disclose that the reaction mixture settles into two liquid phases in which the upper phase comprises cyclohexane and the lower phase comprises the diacids formed, the catalyst, the solvent and other reaction products (Column 2, Lines 32-38). Fache et al. further teach that the cyclohexane phase obtained after settling may be reintroduced in the cyclohexane oxidation operation (Column 2, Lines 39-41). Fache et al. further disclose distillation of the lower phase at a temperature between 25°C and 250°C and at a pressure between 10 Pa and atmospheric pressure such that the cyclohexane

Art Unit: 1627

and the solvent are separated from adipic acid (Column 2, Lines 3-6; Lines 44-45). Fache et al. additionally teach the separation of the catalyst by liquid-liquid extraction using cyclohexane or by membrane electrodialysis (Column 2, Lines 64-67; Column 3, Lines 1-11, 43-47). Fache et al. also disclose that adipic acid may be crystallized and recrystallized from the aqueous solution (Column 3, Lines 30-35).

Fache et al. do not specifically disclose conducting a reducing or oxidizing purification treatment of the adipic acid in aqueous solution. However, purification of adipic acid by both reducing and oxidizing treatments are well-known. BE 855237A discloses a process for the purification of adipic acid by the addition of 40-65% nitric acid at a temperature between 100 and 140°C in the presence of copper catalysts. BE 855237A further discloses that this purification may be followed by treatment with activated carbon. It would therefore have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have treated the reaction mixture according to the process of Fache et al. in order to remove the catalyst, side products and reaction products and to have treated the adipic acid with nitric acid and activated carbon before crystallization in order to have further increased the purity of the desired product.

8. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fache et al. in view of BE 855237A as applied to claims 1-10, 13-15 and 19-20 above, and further in view of both JP 71002802B and JP 81006975B. BE 855237A teaches a method for the purification of adipic acid by treatment of nitric acid but does not expressly disclose the purification of adipic acid by oxidation. However, oxidation treatment using oxygen containing gases or hydroperoxides are known methods for the purification of adipic acid. JP 71002802B discloses a

Art Unit: 1627

process for the purification of adipic acid by treatment with an oxygen containing gas, preferably air. JP 81006975 discloses the purification of adipic acid by the addition of hydrogen peroxide. It would therefore have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have purified adipic acid according to the method of Fache et al. and to have further purified adipic acid using known methods including oxidation with either air or hydrogen peroxide. In addition, it would have been obvious to have conducted the oxidation using any appropriate catalyst.

- 9. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fache et al. in view of BE 855237A as applied to claims 1-10, 13-15 and 19-20 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 3,933,930 issued to Dougherty et al. Neither Fache et al. nor BE 855237A discloses the purification of adipic acid by hydrogenation. However, Dougherty et al. disclose the hydrogenation of a reaction mixture containing adipic acid in order to remove impurities (Column 7, Lines 10-44). Dougherty et al. teach that hydrogenation is conducted using hydrogen and catalysts such as platinum, palladium and cobalt (Column 7, Lines 49-52). It would therefore have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have treated the adipic acid reaction mixture according to the method of Fache et al. and to have further purified the adipic acid using known purification methods including hydrogenation, as taught by Dougherty et al.
- 10. Applicant argues that Fache et al. cannot be properly combined with BE 855237A since the reaction mixture obtained by BE 855237A is obtained by the oxidation of cyclohexane with nitric acid whereas the reaction mixture obtained by Fache et al. is obtained by the oxidation of

Art Unit: 1627

cyclohexane by oxygen. Applicant further argues that oxidation by nitric acid produces various nitrous compounds whereas oxidation by oxygen does not. Applicant further argues that the catalyst used in nitric oxidation is different than the catalyst used in oxygen oxidation. Applicant contends that since the since the catalyst used and the impurities in the reaction mixtures would be different in direct oxygen oxidation and nitric acid oxidation, it would not have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of the two references. Applicant further argues that newly added claim 23 differs from BE 855237A in that it does not require a second treatment step with activated carbon which is required by BE 855237A.

It is the examiner's position that the purification of adipic acid containing reaction mixtures by reducing and oxidizing treatments are well-known. BE 855237A teaches a method for the purification of adipic acid from an aqueous reaction mixture by treatment with nitric acid for the removal of colored impurities. JP 71002802B discloses a method for the purification of an aqueous solution of adipic acid obtained by direct oxidation by oxidation treatment with an oxygen containing gas. JP 81006975B discloses a method for the purification of an aqueous solution of adipic acid by oxidation treatment with hydrogen peroxide. Dougherty et al. disclose a method for the purification of adipic acid by hydrogenation treatment. Thus, the purification of aqueous reaction mixtures containing adipic acid by oxidation or reduction treatment is well-known in the art. Fache et al. teaches separation of the reaction mixture in two liquid phases, distillation, separation of the catalyst, crystallization and recrystallization of adipic acid as recited in the instant claims. Applicant indicates that Fache et al. does not disclose each of the features of the instant invention since Fache et al. does not teach purification by oxidation or reduction treatments. However, as discussed above, purification of adipic acid from aqueous

Art Unit: 1627

reaction mixture by oxidation and reduction treatments are well-known in the art. It would certainly have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have purified adipic acid according to the purification method taught by Fache et al. and to have included an additional known purification step of oxidation or reduction in order to further increase the purity of the obtained adipic acid.

11. With regard to applicant's argument that claim 23 is distinguished from the teaching of BE 855237A since claim 23 excludes a purification step with activated carbon which is required by the reference, it is the examiner's position that applicant's argument is persuasive. Claim 23 is therefore considered allowable over the prior art since BE 855237A requires purification with activated carbon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahreen Chaudhry whose telephone number is (703) 605-1200. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jyothsna Venkat, can be reached at (703) 308-2439. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application is proceeding or assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Art Unit: 1627

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

mc

June 17, 2002

COST SECTOR